(40)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 2761 of 2007

PETITIONER : 1. **Sundar Lal Netam** Aged about 38 years, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Bal Say Netam Primary School Rajagaon Block-Kondagaon, Distt. – Baster (C.G.)

2. **Devendra Kumar Baghel** Age about 38 years, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Manik Ram Baghel Primary School Kachora Block – Kondagaon, Distt. – Baster (C.G.)

3. **Sambhoo Ram Kunjam** Age about 38 years, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri J.R.Kunjam D.N.K. Coloney Kondagaon, Tahsil – Kondagaon, Distt. – Baster (C.G.)

4. **Deo Raj Sordhi** Age about 37 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Sukhdas Sordhi Primary School Kachora Block – Kondagaon, Distt. – Baster (C.G.)

5. **Lakhan Ram Poyam** Age about 38 years, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Lachhindar Poyam Primary School Michipara (Dahikonga) Block – Kondagaon, Distt. – Baster (C.G.)

6. **Ramdhar Markam** Age about 40 years, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri P.R.Markam Primary School Khandam Block – Kondagaon, Distt. Baster (C.G.)

7. **Gokul Nath Diwan** Age about 38 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Kurso Ram Diwan Primary School Nagari Block – Kondagaon, Distt. – Baster (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. **State Govt. of Chhattisgarh** Through Secretary Tribal Welfare Department & Education Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Asstt. Commissioner Officer** Bastar, District – Bastar (C.G.)

3. **Block Education Officer** Kondagaon, District – Bastar (C.G.)

(41)

4. **Treasure & Accounts** Bastar, District – Bastar (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

Single Bench : Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Shri Somnath Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 7th day of May, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same as to that of in W.P.(S) No. 5976/2006 **(Sushil Tiwari and others Vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & Others)**, which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.

3. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit